Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41929 JP Morgan Chase RN104709548 Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Centreport Operations Center, 14800 Frye Road, Fort Worth, Tarrant County

Type of Operation:

A facility with one underground storage tank ("UST") which supplies an emergency generator

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: September 16, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$6,555

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,311 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$5,244 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41929 JP Morgan Chase RN104709548 Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 8, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 8, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on April 30, 2008 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
- 2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the UST. Specifically, the Respondent received one delivery of fuel without a delivery certificate [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)].
- 3. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum UST [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form;
- b. Within 30 days, demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum UST; and
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41929 JP Morgan Chase RN104709548 Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E

Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, Enforcement

Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-1203; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: James E. Greer, Vice President and Asset Manager, JP Morgan Chase,

14800 Frye Road, Fort Worth, Texas 76155

Rick Glaszczak, Facility Manager, JP Morgan Chase, 14800 Frye Road, Fort Worth,

Texas 76155

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 13-Jun-2011 Screening 23-Jun-2011 23-Jun-2011 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent JP Morgan Chase Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN104709548 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 41929 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$5,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 Compliance History No adjustment for compliance history. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 **Culpability** No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Subtotal 5 \$0 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$1,058 Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$5,500 Final Subtotal \$1,055 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 19.2% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with violation nos. 1 and 3. Final Penalty Amount \$6,555 Final Assessed Penalty \$6,555 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT 20.0% Reduction Adjustment -\$1,311 Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$5,244

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Screening Date 23-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E

Respondent JP Morgan Chase

Case ID No. 41929

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104709548

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Component	Number of	Enter Number Hen	e Adjus
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audics	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ase Enter Yes or No	1
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Pero	centage (Sub	ototal 2
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3
pliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Pero	centage (Sub	total 7
pliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history,		
Notes			

	ening Date		Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E	alada caranta e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	PCW
	Respondent Case ID No.	JP Morgan Chase			i 2 (September 2002) sion October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Ref				,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		Petroleum Storage Tank			
Straint Laboration and a laboration	oordinator				
Viola	ation Number	1			
dentification of the state of t	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adm	in. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)		
Violatio	n Description	certificate by submitting a form at least 30 day	iously issued underground storage tank ("UST") a properly completed UST registration and self-completed to the self-completed to the self-complete specifically, the desertificate expired on April 30, 2008.	ertification	
			Base	e Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmen	ntal, Proper	ty and Human Heal	th Matrix		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Release	Harm			
OR	Actual	Major Moderat	LE MINO		-
	Potential		Percent 0%		
>>Programma	Falsification	Major Moderat	te Minor		
To the state of th		X I	Percent 10%		
Matrix		100% of the	rule requirement was not met.		00000
Notes		10070 01 010	a die regulierie it was not met		
	<u> </u>				-
			Adjustment	\$9,000	
					\$1,000
					111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Violation Event	. S				
	Number of V	iolation Events 4	1149 Number of violation	days	
		daily weekly			
	mark only one	monthly	And the state of t	· ·	
	mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Base	e Penalty	\$4,000
		semiannual x			
		single event			
	-				
		Four annu	al events are recommended.		W
			10% nashasi	_	\$0
Good Faith Effo	orts to Comp	Before NC			40
		Extraordinary			
		Ordinary			-
		N/A x	(mark with x)		war and a second
		Notes The Respo	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.		ишкаши
		4 The Control of the	Violation	Subtotal	\$4,000
	es /en> e	*****	CALLANDON FILES	Toct	
Economic Bene	TIT (EB) for	tnis violation	Statutory Limit	i est	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$365 Violation Final Pena	ilty Total	\$4,767
		This v	riolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$4,767
				,	

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent JP Morgan Chase Case ID No. 41929 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104709548 Media Petroleum Storage Tank Years of Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 1 5.0 15 Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Cost Date Required Item Description No commas or \$ Delayed Costs 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Equipment \$0 **Buildings** 0.00 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Land 0.00 n/a Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 8-Jun-2011 9-Mar-2012 0.75 Other (as needed) Estimated cost to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a valid TCEQ delivery certificate. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of Notes for DELAYED costs compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) Avoided Costs 0.00 Disposal \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 4.11 0.00 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] \$62 \$300 \$362 Other (as needed) Estimated avoided cost (\$100 per full year) to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a valid TCEQ delivery certificate. Date Required is 30 days prior to the expiration date of the Notes for AVOIDED costs delivery certificate. Final Date is the record review date. \$365 TOTAL Approx. Cost of Compliance \$400

Screening Date		Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E	PCW
	JP Morgan Chase		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	Petroleum Storage Tank		man de la companya d La companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c
Enf. Coordinator			2
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code	§ 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.34	167(a)
Violation Description	certificate before acco	ole to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ de epting delivery of a regulated substance into the ondent received one delivery of fuel without a de certificate.	UST.
		Base	e Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper		h Matrix	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Release	Harm Major Moderate	e Minor	***************************************
OR Actual	Commence of the commence of th		***************************************
Potential		x Percent 5%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	e Minor	***************************************
Taisireactori	Prajor Proderace	Percent 0%	
		r could be exposed to insignificant amounts of po	
Notes which would n		rotective of human health or environmental recessult of the violation.	ptors as a
	15	suit of the violation.	
		Adjustment	\$9,500
			¢500
			\$500
Violation Events			
			4
Number of V	Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation of	aays
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual	Violation Base	Penalty \$500
	annual Single event X		
	One single event is reco	mmended for accepting one fuel delivery.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com		Reduction	\$0
	Extraordinary Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary		***************************************
	N/A X	(mark with x)	
		ndent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	<u> </u>	Violation	Subtotal \$500
33000000000000000000000000000000000000	353353506799788899994888888888888888888		
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Pena	Ity Total \$596
	inis v	iolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$596

Date Required Final	Date Yrs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Interest Saved \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	5.0 Onetime Costs \$0 \$0	EB Amount
Date Required Final	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
CAPTART CO. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.00	\$0	\$0	
	0.00	\$0	\$0	
	0.00	\$0	\$0	
	0.00			ďΩ
		\$0		
	# D DO 1		\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	0.00	\$0	n/a	<u>\$0</u>
<u> </u>	0,00	<u>\$0</u>	n/a	\$0 \$0
				\$0 \$0
Economi	: benefit is inclu	ided in violation n	o. 1.	
IZE [1] avoided costs	oefore enterin	g item (except	or one-time avoid	led costs)
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0
	0.00			\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
L	1 0.001	<u>\$0</u>	<u> 50 j</u>	\$0
		Economic benefit is inclu ECE [1] avoided costs before enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00		

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.		sion 2 (September 2002) evision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		evision October 30, 2006
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	#1 #1
Enf. Coordinator	Keith Frank	
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1
Rule Cite(3)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)	
The African	Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action for	
Violation Description	compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum UST.	
	acceptal recases alising from the operation of the peroteon 651.	
MANANYON		4
***************************************	Base Penalty	\$10,000
	tu and Uuman Uaalth Matriy	
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential		
Potential	referre 570	***************************************
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor X Percent 10%	***************************************
	Fercent 1070	
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	CONTRACTOR

	Adjustment \$9,000	The state of the s
		, d1 000
		\$1,000
Violation Events		94444444
Number of V	/iolation Events 1 365 Number of violation days	***************************************
Number of	notation Events	9
	dálly	
	weekly monthly monthly	. Shahumu
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
with an X	semiannual	
	annual single event x	***
	Single even. X	
	One single event is recommended for the one UST at the Facility.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		li di
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
The state of the s	Extraordinary Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
Management of the Control of the Con	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
-	Notes this violation.	
	Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
	www.www.accommon.commo.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$693 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,192
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,192
	The state of the s	7=/=32)

						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Year Danie 1-17-1						5.0	1
ttem vescription	No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delaved Costs							
Equipment			30 14343	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		J		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	rı/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	. \$0
Other (as needed)				0,00	\$0 \$0	ri/a	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs							
	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0		\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 enterin	\$0 ig item (e xcept \$0	n/a for one-time avoid \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 enterir 0.00	\$0 ig item (except \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ig item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.00 enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL \$660	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00	\$0 ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3	n/a for one-time avoic	\$0 (costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.00 enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN602904088

JP Morgan Chase

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 3.01

Regulated Entity:

RN104709548

Centreport Operations Center

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 3.01

BY DEFAULT

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION

77509

Location:

14800 FRYE RD, FORT WORTH, TX, 76155

TCEQ Region:

REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared:

June 23, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

June 23, 2006 to June 23, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Keith Frank

Phone:

(512) 239-1203

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator:

NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 06/08/2011

(893654)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	§ §

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1041-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding JP Morgan Chase ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a facility with one underground storage tank ("UST") which supplies an emergency generator at 14800 Frye Road in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's UST is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 13, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Six Thousand Five Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$6,555) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Five Thousand Two Hundred Forty-

Four Dollars (\$5,244) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Three Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$1,311) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii), as documented during a record review conducted on June 8, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on April 30, 2008.
- 2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the UST, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a), as documented during a record review conducted on June 8, 2011. Specifically, the Respondent received one delivery of fuel without a delivery certificate.
- 3. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum UST, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b), as documented during a record review conducted on June 8, 2011.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: JP Morgan Chase, Docket No. 2011-1041-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum UST, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815; and
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

JP Morgan Chase DOCKET NO. 2011-1041-PST-E Page 4

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and

JP Morgan Chase DOCKET NO. 2011-1041-PST-E Page 5

may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

JP Morgan Chase DOCKET NO. 2011-1041-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized t agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, i accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation. I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:	
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, i accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation. I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order	
	[
 A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relie additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. 	·
In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution. [anu & Mur	
Signature Date James E. Greir Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of JP Morgan Chase Date V. P. A SS& Manage Title	18

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.